IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

Lincoln, Nebraska

Nebraska

Defendant.

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF INITIAL APPEARANCE AND ARRAIGNMENT HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHERYL R. ZWART
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MR. JAN W. SHARP

Assistant U.S. Attorney 1620 Dodge Street, #1400 Omaha, NE 68102

FOR THE DEFENDANT: MR. JOHN C. VANDERSLICE

Federal Public Defender
100 Centennial Mall North

112 Federal Building Lincoln, NE 68508

DIGITAL OPERATOR: COLLEEN BERAN

TRANSCRIBER: LORI J. SEHNERT

General Reporting Service 610 J Street, Suite 20 Lincoln, NE 68508

- - -

Proceedings recorded by digital sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

1 (Tuesday, December 18, 2017, at 1:33 p.m.) 2 THE COURT: We're on the record in Case No. 4:17CR3038, 3 United States of America vs. Michael Wayne Parsons. Counsel, 4 please enter your appearance. 5 MR. SHARP: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jan Sharp, 6 Assistant United States Attorney, appearing on behalf of the 7 United States. 8 MR. VANDERSLICE: And John Vanderslice on behalf of Mr. 9 Parsons. 10 THE COURT: Are you Michael Wayne Parsons? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Are we on the record? 12 THE COURT: Yes, we are. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, Your Honor -- good 14 afternoon. Let the record show that I was just brought in here 15 by force against my will, and the officer directly behind me 16 assaulted me, threw me to the ground, drug me in here. I've got 17 broken ribs, a broken arm, multiple injuries from previous 18 assaults, and I'd just seen another one by this gentleman behind 19 I want that to be on the record, first of all. 20 Also, the fictitious person in the birth certificate had 21 been surrendered to the Court and --22 THE COURT: Please stay seated. You must stay seated. THE DEFENDANT: The birth certificate there -- let the 23 24 record show that by special appearance, Ambassador Parsons of the 25 Chilcotin Nation, Country of the Chilcotin, the beneficiary, I'm

here, and I reserve all of my rights without prejudice, UCC 1-308, the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations and the Montevideo Convention, having already surrendered the fictitious person and birth certificate into the well of this Court, this Court lacks jurisdiction and I have no business with this Court. Am I free to go?

THE COURT: No.

THE DEFENDANT: I will instruct you, as the trustee, to dispose of the charge, pay off any debts, and compensate me for the damages.

THE COURT: Are you Michael Wayne Parsons?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm not the fictitious person that you seek. You're seeking, according to this affidavit, a corporate entity, Michael Wayne Parsons. I am not that person. I'm not the fiduciary, surety, or trustee for that corporate entity or trust.

THE COURT: All right.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm a live man. This court is not an Article III court. As an ambassador of another country, according to the Constitution, Article III, Section 2, all matters pertaining to ambassadors, the original jurisdiction and sole jurisdiction is with the Article III Section 2 Supreme Court. This is not that. This Court lacks jurisdiction.

THE COURT: All right. Are you saying you are not Michael Wayne Parsons?

THE DEFENDANT: I am not the person in the Indictment.

That is a fictitious person. That's what I'm saying.

THE COURT: What is your name?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm Ambassador Parsons of the Chilcotin Nation, Country of the Chilcotin. I am not the person -- the fictitious person that is in this Indictment. That is not me.

THE COURT: All right. Now, Ambassador Parsons, what is your first name?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm referred to as Ambassador Parsons for the record. I do not consent to this forum. I do not understand this forum. I -- this gentleman just approached me moments earlier and I tried to ask questions. He could not answer any of the questions.

of all, we'll have to have an identity hearing. And, interestingly, my understanding is that you have refused to be processed by the marshals, which would include your DNA and your fingerprints. You are hereby ordered to submit to processing by the marshals. They will go ahead and do that, even if it's necessary to do it involuntarily.

THE DEFENDANT: I would like to be heard on that matter. I don't consent to that. Under the United States

Supreme Court ruling under Title 42 \$2000bb, the Religious

Freedom Restoration Act, it is against my religious objections.

I do not do TB shots or I do not do any unknown foreign

substances into my body, and they're trying to force a TB test upon me. It's in violation of United States Code and Supreme Court rulings that say that if I'm not symptomatic, they can't force me against my will. I've never done a TB test. I don't consent to those now. It is against my rights as a human being given to me by my creator, not to give up my DNA, which is in violation of the Fifth Amendment also, and it's in violation of the Universal Supreme Court Act of the Chilcotin Nation. I am not subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. This Court does not have jurisdiction over me.

THE COURT: The Court does have jurisdiction over you.

You are hereby ordered -- and the marshals are hereby

ordered -- to process you, which would include the DNA and would

include the fingerprinting, and any other matters, and

photographing, I assume.

THE DEFENDANT: I do not consent to this offer -THE COURT: It doesn't make any difference.

THE DEFENDANT: I do not consent to this offer. I do not accept your offer and I --

THE COURT: It's not an offer; it's an order.

THE DEFENDANT: -- I do not -- an order is an offer and I do not consent to these proceedings. I am the beneficiary, the living soul. I am not the corporate fiction and this is after a corporate fiction. I'm a live man.

THE COURT: At this time, though, I am going to have

Mr. Sharp advise you of what your -- the charges are against Michael Wayne Parsons and the possible penalty on those charges.

Mr. Sharp.

MR. SHARP: Mr. Parsons, the grand jury seated in the District of Nebraska has returned a one-count Indictment that charges you in that count with being a convicted felon in possession of a firearm, a specifically described AR-15 rifle and over 600 rounds of ammunition. If you are convicted of that offense, it's --

THE DEFENDANT: Objection --

MR. SHARP: -- punishable by up to 10 years in prison and up to a \$250,000 fine, or both. There could also be up to a three-year term of supervised release that would be imposed -- or could be imposed after any period of incarceration. Lastly, in the event of your conviction, there would also be a \$100 special assessment that would be applied. Do you understand what you're charged with and what the possible penalties are?

I'm not the Michael Wayne Parsons that you're seeking. That is a corporate fiction. And you said that -- you're referencing an AR-15. That's not what's listed in this sheet of paper in front of me for that corporate fiction, so you're reading into the record things that do not exist on this Indictment. I object to the reading of this Indictment, that it's fraud, because Mr. Sharp did not read it as it is written.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to advise you of your constitutional rights. You have the right to remain silent.

What that means is, you do not have to say anything about the charges in any court proceeding. You do not have to provide a statement to the Government or anybody asking for information on behalf of the Government. If you've already provided a statement, you do not have to say anything more. And, if you ever start answering questions, you can stop answering those questions at any time. But you need to understand that what you choose to say to anyone other than your lawyer can and will be used against you. Do you understand your right to remain silent?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't understand any of this, nor do
I waive any of my rights. My rights are not constitutional.
They come from my creator, God. They're recognized by the
Constitution. The Constitution doesn't give me anything. This
Government doesn't give me anything.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you can remain silent if you want to?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't understand what you're doing, because you don't have jurisdiction.

THE COURT: All right. You have the right to counsel and, if you cannot afford counsel, counsel will be appointed for you at no cost to you. Do you want an attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't waive any of my rights.

THE COURT: Do you want an attorney? It's a yes or no

question.

8

2 THE DEFENDANT: I don't waive any of my rights. I don't understand what you're doing. That's the point of this 3 4 whole matter, is, you're trying to bait-and-switch me from counsel to an attorney. They're two different things. Legal 5 counsel and an attorney are two different things. 6 7 THE COURT: The only people that can represent you in this court as counsel are attorneys. 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: What form of court is this? 10 THE COURT: This is the United States District Court 11 for the District of Nebraska. 12 THE DEFENDANT: And what form of court? 13 THE COURT: The United States District Court for the 14 District of Nebraska. 15 THE DEFENDANT: That's not the form of court. Is this 16 an admiralty court? 17 THE COURT: No. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Is this a constitutional court? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 THE DEFENDANT: So you're following the Constitution? 21 THE COURT: When I advise you that you have the right 22 to an attorney, yes. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Do you recognize, Article III Section 24 2, as an ambassador, you don't have jurisdiction over me? 25 THE COURT: I'm no longer answering your questions,

1 sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: You're not following the Constitution

if you're not recognizing Article III.

THE COURT: I'm not -- no longer answering your questions, sir, and I'm no longer listening to bogus arguments.

THE DEFENDANT: Then this Court is rogue and it's a fraud. Everything that you do from here on --

THE COURT: That, you can take up in motion.

THE DEFENDANT: You are violating international law. You're committing war crimes right now by holding me -- now that you know that I'm an ambassador, you're holding me against my will. You're committing international war crimes. I have here a document proving my position as an ambassador of the Chilcotin Nation signed by the hereditary Grand Chief Stanley Stump, Sr., himself, from January 1st, 2016, before the alleged charge against this fictitious entity, Ambassador Associate Justice Michael Parsons of the Chilcotin Nation member -- and member of the Chilcotin National Congress of the Country of Chilcotin, formerly British Columbia, Canada. "Michael Parsons is not a U.S. citizen. Michael Parsons has diplomatic immunity based on the appointment to the position of ambassador by hereditary Grand Chief of the Chilcotin Nation, Country of the Chilcotin, Stanley Stump, Sr., on January  $1^{st}$ , 2016, and based on the Vienna Convention on diplomatic relations Article 39, which states that upon appointment by the country to the position of ambassador,

they are recognized and have diplomatic immunity. Ambassador Michael Parsons has been exonerated of all prior convictions and charges from the United States by the Universal Supreme Court of the Tsilhqot'in, which, under the rules of reciprocity and the Constitution of the Chilcotin Nation and National Congress, must be upheld by the United States. And under Title 28 \$1330, the United States is bound to uphold that order. Therefore, based on all the above, I, hereditary Grand Chief, Stanley Stump, Sr., request immediate release of Ambassador Michael Parsons from detention in accordance with the Vienna Convention on diplomatic relations."

I have a copy of my tribal card, my status card. It's blown up so that you may see it. It clearly lists me as the ambassador of the Chilcotin Nation. I will enter that for the record, along with a copy of the letter from the Grand Chief, Stanley Stump, Sr., himself.

THE COURT: All right. You have the right to a jury trial. At that trial, you'll have the right to see and hear any witnesses who testify against you and to have them cross-examined on your behalf. You'll have the right to call witnesses for you and, if they will not come voluntarily, you can get a court order called a subpoena to make them come and testify. At the trial, you can testify yourself, if you want to, or you can exercise your right to remain silent. If you remain silent at the trial, the jury will not be allowed to consider anything -- will not be

allowed to consider your silence in deciding whether you are guilty. And, at the trial the Government will not get a conviction against you unless it is able to prove to every single juror that you are guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

This case is set for a -- I'm going to enter a not guilty plea on your behalf. This case is set for trial -- for a five-day trial before Judge Gerrard for February 20th. I'll order reciprocal discovery under Rule 16 to be exchanged within 14 days of today. Pretrial motions need to be filed by January 17th. Any reason not to otherwise adopt the normal progression schedule, Mr. Sharp?

MR. SHARP: No, Your Honor.

MR. VANDERSLICE: Judge, I'm going to be out of the office during the week of February 20<sup>th</sup>, and I presume that we're going to have a jury trial. Would it be possible to move this up a week or two?

THE COURT: Sure. Actually, he is not requesting counsel, so I'm not sure that --

THE DEFENDANT: I have not waived counsel. I demand to maintain all of my rights. I've not waived anything.

THE COURT: Okay. Then you are appointed as counsel and you'd like it earlier than that. Is that --

MR. VANDERSLICE: I would, Judge. Yes, please.

THE DEFENDANT: The problem we have is, this gentleman has already told me he doesn't want this case. He said he's got

1 plenty of cases, doesn't want this case, because of the publicity 2 apparently. 3 THE COURT: I don't think it's because of that. 4 How about February 12<sup>th</sup>? 5 MR. VANDERSLICE: That would be great, Judge. Thank 6 you. 7 THE DEFENDANT: I have no confidence in this 8 individual. He has not given counsel. He --9 MR. VANDERSLICE: Actually, could we go to February 5<sup>th</sup> --10 11 THE COURT: Sure. 12 MR. VANDERSLICE: -- because I suspect that even though 13 it's scheduled for a five-day trial, it may take longer. THE COURT: Yes, February 5th, that sounds like a 14 wonderful time. 15 16 MR. VANDERSLICE: Thank you. 17 THE DEFENDANT: One problem we also have is that the 18 State has not shown any proof of a bond in this matter. Does the 19 State have a bond in this matter? 20 THE COURT: No, and that brings us to the issue of 21 detention. What is the Government's position? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, not that bond. I mean the bond for 23 the charge.

MR. SHARP: Your Honor, I'm asking the Court to take

judicial notice of the Pretrial Services report. We are

24

25

1 requesting detention both on flight risk and on danger to the community. In addition to what's in the Pretrial Services 2 3 report, I would proffer to the Court that prior to the events 4 that led to the instant Indictment, Mr. Parsons was awaiting trial in Tennessee on release with a bracelet, which he cut off, 5 6 boarded a plane --7 THE DEFENDANT: Facts not in evidence. Objection, Your Those facts are not in evidence. 8 9 MR. SHARP: -- intending to fly to the country of 10 Canada when he was -- when he stopped here in the state of 11 Nebraska. 12 THE DEFENDANT: None of those facts --13 MR. SHARP: For all of those reasons, we're asking for 14 detention. 15 THE DEFENDANT: None of those facts are in evidence. 16 There's nothing in evidence --17 THE COURT: This is what we're going --18 THE DEFENDANT: -- to support that. That is not true. 19 THE COURT: This is what we're going to do --20 THE DEFENDANT: There was nothing cut off. 21 THE COURT: If you'll allow me to speak, I am in 22 charge. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Ma'am, I have a wife and a farm --24 THE COURT: It doesn't make any difference how many 25 wives you have or how many farms you have. The real -- the

1 issue --2 THE DEFENDANT: Just one, for 33 years. 3 THE COURT: The issue for me is whether you pose a risk 4 of flight and a risk of danger to the community if you are 5 released. 6 THE DEFENDANT: I have -- I've never harmed --7 THE COURT: We're looking at having a detention 8 hearing. I'm looking at having that -- and then, also, we have 9 to deal with this TB test issue. There is Turner v. Safley gives 10 you the four factors that I have to look at in determining 11 whether I can order the TB test. I also need to find out from 12 the marshals what their policy is, what the purpose of the policy 13 is, what alternatives we have, those types of things. And so, 14 I'm kind of looking at doing the detention hearing on the afternoon of the 20<sup>th</sup>. Does that work? 15 16 MR. SHARP: Of December? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. SHARP: Yes. 19 THE DEFENDANT: And, Your Honor, if I could -- I don't 20 know if you can see this. I'm --21 MR. VANDERSLICE: Judge, the 20th, Wednesday, at what 22 time were you --23 THE COURT: I'm looking at 2:30. Does that work for 24 everyone? 25 MR. SHARP: Yes.

MR. VANDERSLICE: Yes.

THE COURT: We'll do a detention hearing at 2:30, and I'd like to cover the TB issue at the same time.

Between now and then, the marshals are entitled, if they choose to, to place him in segregation. Under the Eighth Circuit law that you cannot -- under Eighth Circuit law you cannot expose other people to potential risk of tuberculosis inside of a penal institution. And if that is a concern for the marshal or for the Saline County jail, where he is being held, you may place him in segregation until we make a decision as to the merits under the Saf- -- Turner v. Safley criteria.

THE DEFENDANT: And currently I'm in segregation and that's being addressed, Your Honor. And I do have with me a copy of my ordination. I'm an ordained minister, Native American minister, and the fact is, is that I have never done a TB test. It is against my religion. I don't do any drugs, shots, nothing that I know is harmful. And the manufacturer of that shot does not -- they state they do not guarantee the safety or effectiveness of it, because they can't guarantee what they put in there. That's the manufacturer. And --

THE COURT: So your concern is about the shot itself and the -- the pin prick test itself, is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't put anything into my body that is potentially harmful or is not helpful. I've never done a TB test for that very reason. And the fact is, is that I've been

locked up in the county jail in Phelps for 30 days and LCC up the road here for going on a month-and-a-half, and they had me in isolation for 10 days. They recognized, "Okay, he's not symptomatic." I went into general population. In Tipton County, they know that I don't do these shots; straight into general population. In the West Tennessee detention facility, I was in segregation not so much because of the TB shot, but because of this false accusation of a flight risk.

If Your Honor looks at the record, you'll see that on the false charge in Tipton County, the hearing that I did not attend was because I never had a directive to appear. Prior to that, for going on two years, every month I would show up in that courtroom like clockwork. I was out on a \$500 bond. I live in the community with my wife. I've got a farm. We're easily accessible. The only thing is that they never gave me an order, a directive, or anything. I had no indication I was supposed to appear on that day. Otherwise, I would've been there. I was working in my ministry with the Chilcotin Nation, working to help them get a timber deal so they can get their kids back from the foster system.

THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Sharp, I'm also -- by then we should have the DNA, fingerprints, those types of things, so let's do the identity hearing fully, as well, on Wednesday afternoon at two o'clock.

THE DEFENDANT: Judge, I have one last issue, if I

1 could pass this up to you. It's a letter that I tried to get 2 faxed to you last week. I do have a suit, regular clothes, as 3 opposed to this. If I could request the graciousness of Your Honor to allow me to be in proper dress. 4 THE COURT: Everybody who is detained that comes before 5 6 this Court, this is their proper dress, and so you will be 7 wearing that. 8 Anything else that we need to take up at this time? 9 MR. SHARP: No, Your Honor. 10 MR. VANDERSLICE: No, Judge. 11 THE COURT: We are in recess. 12 (Recessed at 1:52 p.m.) 13 14 CERTIFICATE 15 I, Lori J. Sehnert, court-approved transcriber, certify 16 that the foregoing is an accurate transcript from the official 17 digital sound recording provided to me of the proceedings made in 18 the above-entitled matter. 19 s/Lori J. Sehnert DATE: <u>February 12, 2</u>019 20 Signature of Approved Transcriber 21 22 23 24 25